

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,170	07/01/2003	Vahid C. Saadat	USGINZ02111	5203
40518 7590 08/03/2010 LEVINE BAGADE HAN LLP			EXAMINER	
2400 GENG ROAD, SUITE 120 PALO ALTO, CA 94303			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/612,170 SAADAT ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MICHAEL G. MENDOZA 3734 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 February 2010. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 68-72 and 74-80 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 78-80 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 68-72 and 74-77 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Trisclosure Statement(s) (PTO/96ix8)
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper Noty) Mail Date

9) Information Trisclosure Statement(s) (PTO/96ix8)
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/612,170 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments, see pre-appeal brief, filed 2/8/2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 68 and 74-77 under 35 USC 102(b) and 69-72 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Erlebacher et al.
- Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- Claims 68-72 and 74-80 are pending.
- 4. Claims 78-80 are withdrawn from consideration.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 68 and 74-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Erlebacher et al. 5350399 (Erlebacher).
- 7. Erlebacher teaches an anchor comprising: a plurality of struts (18), with substanctially each on of the plurality of struts having a first end and a second end, the with the second end of substantially each of the struts free, and with the first end of substantially each of the struts affixed to a fixation point (fig. 9): a suture (12) coupled to

Application/Control Number: 10/612,170 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

the fixation point (figs. 7 & 8), with the struts having a reduced delivery profile wherein they are substantially parallel to one another (collapsed/compressed when inside delivery barrel 24) and a deployed profile where they extend at angels away from one another (fig. 7-9); a fastener (13) coupled to the suture and configure to retain tension force on the suture, the fastener having a first state in which the suture is translatable through the fastener (before the fastener is passed through 14), and a second state in which the suture is restrained from translation through the fastener (figs. 7 & 8); and a mesh/membrane attached to one or more of the struts (19).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 77 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erlebacher.
- 10. Erlebacher teaches the anchor of claim 74. It should be noted that Erlebacher fails to specifically teach wherein the first and second struts comprise a length of polymeric or metal wire. Erlebacher teaches that the struts are made from a bioabsorbable material. It is well known the art of surgery that many bioabsorbable materials are made from polymers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the are at the time the invention was made to use a bioabsorbable material made of a polymer, since it has been held to be within the

Application/Control Number: 10/612,170 Page 4

Art Unit: 3734

general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

- Claims 69-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erlebacher in view of Lock et al. 5709707 (Lock).
- 12. Erlebacher teaches the claimed anchor as recited in claim 68 and 74. It should be noted that Broome et al. fails to teach a first and second strut having ends and a middle section with the middle section of the first strut overlying the middle section of the second strut. However, Lock teaches an expandable device having a common first and second strut having ends and a middle section with the middle section of the first strut overlying the middle section of the second strut. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Broome et al. in view of Lock et al. as an alternative arrangement for expanding struts.
- 13. As to claim 72, Broome/Lock teach the claimed invention except for a third strut. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a third strut, since it has been held that mere duplication of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL G. MENDOZA whose telephone number is Art Unit: 3734

(571)272-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 a.m. - 5:00

p.m.,

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on (571) 272-4713. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. G. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 3734

/TODD E. MANAHAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734